File No. 33-218/2010-NBA

Date: 03-01-2024

To,
The Dean
Madras Institute of Technology,
Campus Anna University, Chromepet,
Chennai- 600 044,
Tamil Nadu

Subject: Accreditation status of programs applied by Madras Institute of Technology, Campus Anna University, Chromepet, Chennai- 600 044, Tamil Nadu.

Sir,

This has reference to your application I.D. No. 7175-11/08/2022 seeking accreditation by National Board of Accreditation in Tier I format to UG Engineering programs applied by Madras Institute of Technology, Campus Anna University, Chromepet, Chennai- 600 044, Tamil Nadu.

2. An Expert Team conducted onsite evaluation of the programs from 22nd to 24th September, 2023. The report submitted by the Expert Team was considered by the concerned Committees constituted for the purpose in NBA. The Competent Authority in NBA has approved the following accreditation status to the programs as given in the table below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sl. No.</th>
<th>Name of the Program(s)</th>
<th>Basis of Evaluation</th>
<th>Accreditation Status</th>
<th>Period of validity</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Electronics &amp; Communication Engineering</td>
<td></td>
<td>Accredited (Marks and Grades awarded by the Visiting Team revised as per the observations made and indicated in the Annexure to this letter)</td>
<td>Academic Years 2023-2024 to 2028-2029 i.e. up to 30-06-2029</td>
<td>Accreditation status granted is valid for the period indicated in Col.5 or till the program has the approval of the Competent Authority, whichever is earlier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Computer Science &amp; Engineering</td>
<td>Tier – I January 2016 Document</td>
<td>Accredited (Marks and Grades awarded by the Visiting Team revised as per the observations made and indicated in the Annexure to this letter)</td>
<td>Academic Years 2023-2024 to 2025-2026 i.e. up to 30-06-2026</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Information Technology</td>
<td></td>
<td>Accredited</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Production Engineering</td>
<td></td>
<td>Accredited</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Rubber and Plastics Technology</td>
<td></td>
<td>Accredited</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. It may be noted that only students who graduate during the validity period of accreditation, will be deemed to have graduated with an NBA accredited degree.
4. In case of the UG Engineering programs which have been granted accreditation for 3 years, Madras Institute of Technology, Campus Anna University, Chromepet, Chennai- 600 044, Tamil Nadu should submit the Compliance Report for these programs at least six months before the expiry of validity of accreditation mentioned above so as to be eligible for consideration by the concerned Committee in NBA for further processing of the accreditation status. For the UG Engineering programs which have been granted full accreditation for 6 years, the program should be applied in Tier I Second Cycle SAR document at least five months before the expiry of validity of accreditation mentioned above.

5. The accreditation status awarded to the programs as indicated in the above table does not imply that the accreditation has been granted to Madras Institute of Technology, Campus Anna University, Chromepet, Chennai- 600 044, Tamil Nadu as a whole. As such the Institution should nowhere along with its name including on its letter head etc. write that it is accredited by NBA because it is program accreditation and not Institution accreditation. If such an instance comes to NBA’s notice, this will be viewed seriously. Complete name of the program(s) accredited, level of program(s) and the period of validity of accreditation, as well as the Academic Year from which the accreditation is effective should be mentioned unambiguously whenever and wherever it is required to indicate the status of accreditation by NBA.

6. The accreditation status of the above programs is subject to change on periodic review, if needed by the NBA. It is desired that the relevant information in respect of accredited programs as indicated in the table in paragraph 2, appears on the website and information bulletin of the Institute.

7. The accreditation status awarded to the programs as indicated in table in paragraph 2 above is subject to maintenance of the current standards during the period of accreditation. If there are any changes in the status (major changes of faculty strength, organizational structure etc.), the same are required to be communicated to the NBA, with an appropriate explanatory note.

8. A copy each of the Report of Chairman of the Visiting Team and Evaluators’ Reports in respect of the above programs is enclosed.

9. If the Institute is not satisfied with the decision of NBA, it may appeal within thirty days of receipt of this communication giving reasons for the same and by paying the requisite fee.

Yours faithfully,

(Dr. Anil Kumar Nassa)
Member Secretary

2. Copy each of Expert Reports of the Visiting Team.

Copy to:

1. The Registrar
Anna University, Sardar Patel Road,
Chennai-600 025, Tamil Nadu

2. The Principal Secretary (Higher Education)
Government of Tamil Nadu
N.K.M. Building, 6th Floor, Secretariat
Chennai-600009
Tamil Nadu

3. The Commissioner,
Directorate of Technical Education
Sardar Patel Road, Guindy
Chennai-600025, Tamil Nadu

4. Accreditation File

5. Master Accreditation file of the State
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Program(s) (UG)</th>
<th>Observation(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Electronics &amp; Communication Engineering</td>
<td>Marks and Grades are revised in Criterion 2. Industry projects are executed by the students. Students have publications and received awards. System is in place to identify slow-learners and bright students. The revised Grades and Marks are as follows:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grades</td>
<td>Marks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y=7</td>
<td>741</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C=3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W=0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D=0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer Science &amp; Engineering</td>
<td>i) Marks and Grades are revised as per formula in Criterion 4 in enrolment ratio and in Criterion 5 in SFR, Faculty Qualification and Faculty Retention. The revised Grades and Marks are as follows:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grades</td>
<td>Marks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y=7</td>
<td>721</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C=3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W=0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D=0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ii) Average SFR is 23.3:1 i.e. more than 20:1. Hence the program does not meet one of the essential parameters for accreditation of 6 years and has been accredited for 3 years.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>