

## STUDENTS' FEEDBACK(

)

SN	Indicators	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly agree
1	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover				✓	
2	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)				✓	
3	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application					
4	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)					✓
5	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.					✓
6	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.				✓	
7	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped				✓	
8	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable				✓	
9	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus				✓	

Note: Please tick the necessary column.

J. fathima Rizwana  
M. tech (leoe)  
2016247002.



## STUDENTS' FEEDBACK( )

SN	Indicators	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly agree
1	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover				✓	
2	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)					✓
3	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application				✓	
4	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)					✓
5	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.				✓	
6	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.					✓
7	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped				✓	
8	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable					✓
9	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus				✓	


Note: Please **tick** the necessary column.

E. Hamritha.

E. HAMRITHA

M. Tech (Laser & Electro optical Engg)

2016247003



STUDENTS' FEEDBACK( )

SN	Indicators	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly agree
1	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover				✓	
2	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)			✓		
3	The syllabus was need based; Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application				✓	
4	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)				✓	
5	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials etc.) were relevant and useful.				✓	
6	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.				✓	
7	The books prescribed/listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped			✓		
8	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities etc) were achievable				✓	
9	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus				✓	

Note: Please **tick** the necessary column.

2016247015

V. Vinitha

M.Tech / Laser & Electro optical engg

