Register No: 2014207001



DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINNERING COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING GUINDY, CHENNAI 600 025

Student's feedback on Syllabus

1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in the syllabus. Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree
2.	The course was well organized. (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notifications of changes etc). Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree
3.	The syllabus was need based. Emphasis was on fundamentals as well as on modern/advanced topics. Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree
4.	Was there a balance between theory and practical? Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree
5.	Is the course well-structured to achieve the learning outcomes (Usage of learning resources, tutorials, practical etc) Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree
6.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning. Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree
7.	Are the prescribed books relevant? Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree
8.	Were the labs better equipped? Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree
9.	Does the course contribute to skill enhancement and better career opportunities? Strongly Agree
10	.Were the assessments conducted on time with proper coverage of syllabus? Strongly Agree

Register No: 2014207002



DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINNERING COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING GUINDY, CHENNAI 600 025

Student's feedback on Syllabus

1.	The o	course objecti	ves w	ere clear	r and	reflected i	in the	syllabus.		
	\circ	Strongly Agree		Agree	\bigcirc	Neutral	\bigcirc	Disagree	\circ	Strongly Disagree
					_				_	
2.	The o	course was we	ell org	anized.	(e.g. t	eaching h	ours,	content flo	w, ac	cess to materials,
	notif	ications of cha	anges	etc).						
		Strongly Agree	\circ	Agree	O	Neutral	0	Disagree	0	Strongly Disagree
	_						·			
3.	The s	syllabus was r	need b	ased. Er	nphas	sis was on	fund	amentals as	s well	as on
	mode	ern/advanced	topics	•						
	\circ	Strongly Agree		Agree	\bigcirc	Neutral	\bigcirc	Disagree	\bigcirc	Strongly Disagree
4.	Was	there a balanc	e bety	ween the	eory a	nd practic	al?			
	O[Strongly Agree] O [Agree		Neutral	\circ	Disagree	\circ	Strongly Disagree
5.	Is the	e course well-	structi	ared to a	chiev	e the lear	ning (outcomes (Usage	of learning
	resou	rces, tutorials	s, prac	tical etc)		_			
		Strongly Agree	JO	Agree	\circ	Neutral	\circ	Disagree	\bigcirc	Strongly Disagree
6.	The o	overall enviro	nment	t in the c	class v		cive 1	to learning.		
	\bigcirc	Strongly Agree		Agree		Neutral	\bigcirc	Disagree	\bigcirc	Strongly Disagree
_				1	. 0					
/.	Are t	he prescribed	books		nt?	No. 1 and	\bigcirc	5:	\bigcirc	Classic Bissess
	\cup	Strongly Agree		Agree		Neutral	\circ	Disagree	\cup	Strongly Disagree
O	Wana	41a 1aha ha44								
ð.	were	the labs bette	er equi							
	\circ	Strongly Agree		Agree	\bigcirc	Neutral	\bigcirc	Disagree	\bigcirc	Strongly Disagree
9. Does the course contribute to skill enhancement and better career opportunities?										ortunities?
ν.		Strongly Agree		Agree		Neutral		Disagree	\bigcap	Strongly Disagree
		January Agree		, ,5,				Disagree	\mathcal{O}	33., 2.3agree
10. Were the assessments conducted on time with proper coverage of syllabus?										
10	. ,, ст						nopei		\sim	
	\bigcirc	Strongly Agree		Agree	\bigcirc	Neutral	\cup	Disagree	\bigcirc	Strongly Disagree

Register No: 2014207006



DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINNERING COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING GUINDY, CHENNAI 600 025

Student's feedback on Syllabus

1.	\sim $^{-}$	course objectiv			r and		in the			
	O[Strongly Agree		Agree	O[Neutral	O[Disagree	O[Strongly Disagree
2.	The c	course was wel	ll org	anized.	(e.g. t	eaching h	ours,	content flo	w, aco	cess to materials,
	notifi	cations of cha	nges	etc).						
		Strongly Agree	\sim $^{-}$	Agree	\bigcap	Neutral	\bigcap	Disagree	\bigcap	Strongly Disagree
		Strongly Agree		Agree		Neutrai	O [Disagree		Strongly Disagree
3.	The s	yllabus was n	eed b	ased. Eı	mphas	sis was on	funda	amentals as	s well	as on
	mode	rn/advanced to	opics							
	0	Strongly Agree		Agree	0	Neutral	\circ	Disagree	\bigcirc	Strongly Disagree
4.	Was	there a balance	e bety	ween the	eory a	nd praction	al?		_	
	O[Strongly Agree	0	Agree		Neutral	\circ	Disagree	0	Strongly Disagree
5.	Is the	course well-s	tructı	ared to a	achiev	e the lear	ning o	outcomes (Usage	of learning
	resources, tutorials, practical etc)									
	O	Strongly Agree		Agree	\bigcirc	Neutral	\bigcirc	Disagree	\bigcirc	Strongly Disagree
	_		_							
6.	The o	overall environ	ment	in the	class v	vas condu	cive t	o learning.		
	\bigcirc	Strongly Agree		Agree	\bigcirc	Neutral	\bigcirc	Disagree	\bigcirc	Strongly Disagree
		3, 3						- 1318. 33		0, 0
7.	Are t	he prescribed l	books	s releva	nt?					
. •		Strongly Agree		Agree	\bigcap	Neutral	\bigcirc	Disagree	\bigcirc	Strongly Disagree
				7.8.00				213081.00		3.3.3.4 2.338.33
Q	Word	the labs bette	r ogui	innad?						
ο.	were		i equi							
	\bigcirc	Strongly Agree		Agree		Neutral	\bigcirc	Disagree	\bigcirc	Strongly Disagree
9.	Does	the course con	ntribu	ite to sk	ill enl	nancemen	t and	better care	er opp	ortunities?
		Strongly Agree		Agree		Neutral		Disagree	\bigcap	Strongly Disagree
		- 3. 56.7 7. 8. 50				112300	\mathcal{L}	2.508, 66		2 / 2 / 2 / 2 / 3 / 6 / 6 /
10. Were the assessments conducted on time with proper coverage of syllabus?										
10	. ** 516	uic assessifier	113 00		ı on u	me will b	лорег	Coverage	or sym	aous:
	\circ	Strongly Agree		Agree	\bigcirc	Neutral	\bigcirc	Disagree	\bigcirc	Strongly Disagree