CENTER FOR WATER RESOURCES

COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING GUINDY, CHENNAI 600 025

Feedback on Syllabus/Curriculum

(July 2018 - June 2019)

	Name of the Student: S. Sanavana Kuman								
	Degree: ME -			E"					
	The course objectives were clear and reflected in the syllabus.								
	Ostrongly Agree	OAgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree				
1.	of changes etc)	ll organized	(e.g. teaching ho	ours, content flo	w, access to materials, notifications				
	Strongly Agree	OAgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree				
2.	The syllabus was need based. Emphasis was on fundamentals as well as onmodem/advancedtopics.								
	Ostrongly Agree	OAgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree				
3.	Was there a balance between theory and practical?								
	OStrongly Agree	Ø _{Agree}	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree				
4.	Is the course well-structured to achieve the learning outcomes (Usage of learning resources, tutorials, practicaletc)?								
	OStrongly Agree	ØAgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree				
5.	The overall environ	ment in the	class was condu	cive to learning.					
	Østrongly Agree	OAgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree				
6.	Are the prescribed l	books releva	nt?						
	Strongly Agree	OAgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree				
7.	Were the Labs bette	er equipped?							
	Ostrongly Agree	OAgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree				
8.	Did the course contribute to skill enhancement and better career opportunities?								
	OStrongly Agree	Ø Agree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree				
9.	Were the assessmen	its conducted	on time with p	roper coverage of	of syllabus?				
	OStrongly Agree	O Agree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree				

Signature of the Student

CENTER FOR WATER RESOURCES

COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING GUINDY, CHENNAI 600 025

Feedback on Syllabus/Curriculum

(July 2018 - June 2019)

	Name of the Student	:C.H-Rar	njith						
	Degree: M·E -I	nayear (H	Wh-)						
	The course objectives were clear and reflected in the syllabus.								
	Strongly Agree	OAgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree				
1.	The course was well of changes etc)	l organized (e	e.g. teaching hou	irs, content flow	, access to materials,no	tifications			
	OStrongly Agree	OAgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree				
2.	The syllabus was need based. Emphasis was on fundamentals as well as onmodem/advancedtopics.								
	Strongly Agree	OAgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree				
3.	Was there a balance				001 8:				
	OStrongly Agree		ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree				
4.	4. Is the course well-structured to achieve the learning outcomes (Usage of learning resources, tutorials, practicaletc)?								
	OStrongly Agree	O Agree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree				
5.	The overall environ	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.							
	Strongly Agree	OAgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree				
6.	Are the prescribed	Are the prescribed books relevant?							
	Strongly Agree	OAgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree				
7.	Were the Labs bette	er equipped?							
	Strongly Agree	OAgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree				
8.	Did the course contribute to skill enhancement and better career opportunities?								
	OStrongly Agree	Ø Agree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree				
9.	Were the assessments conducted on time with proper coverage of syllabus?								
	Ostronaly Agree	O'Agree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStrongly Disagree				

Ch · Zang? 44 Signature of the Student



CENTER FOR WATER RESOURCES

COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING GUINDY, CHENNAI 600 025

Feedback on Syllabus/Curriculum

(July 2018 - June 2019)

	Name of the Stude	nt: S. Thila	garathy				
	Degree: ME -	IIng year	HWRE				
	The course objective			the syllabus.			
	Ostrongly Agree	OAgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree		
1.	The course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes etc)						
	Ostrongly Agree	OAgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree		
2.	The syllabus was need based. Emphasis was on fundamentals as well as onmodem/advancedtopics.						
	Strongly Agree	OAgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree		
3.	. Was there a balance between theory and practical?						
	OStrongly Agree	6Agree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree		
4.	Is the course well-structured to achieve the learning outcomes (Usage of learning resources, tutorials, practicaletc)?						
	OStrongly Agree	ØÅgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree		
5.	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.						
	Ostrongly Agree	OAgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree		
6.	Are the prescribed books relevant?						
	Ostrongly Agree	OAgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree		
7.	Were the Labs better equipped?						
	Strongly Agree	OAgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree		
8.	Did the course contribute to skill enhancement and better career opportunities?						
	OStrongly Agree	Ø Agree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree		
9.	Were the assessments conducted on time with proper coverage of syllabus?						
	OStrongly Agree	Agree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree		

Signature of the Student