

ALAGAPPA COLLEGE OF TECHNOLOGY

ANNA UNIVERSITY

DEPARTMENT OF CERAMIC TECHNOLOGY

NAME OF THE STUDENT KARTHIKEVAN. M ROLL NO 2017301518

STUDENTS FEEDBACK: 2016-17

S. No.	Indicators	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither disagree nor agree	Agree	Strongly agree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to				$ \land $	
2.	cover. The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)					\sim
3.	The Syllabus was need based Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application			~	~	
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical, etc.				1	
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials, etc.) were relevant and useful.	ж 1			~	
6.	The overall environment in the class		2			
7.	The books prescribed listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped.			2	1	
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities, etc.) were achievable.					
9.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				/	

Signature M. Kathilay~

Date 6.2.19

elva

PROFESSOR AND HEAD Department of Ceramic Technology Alagappa College of Technology, Anna University, Chennai - 600 025.