

## ALAGAPPA COLLEGE OF TECHNOLOGY

## ANNA UNIVERSITY

## DEPARTMENT OF CERAMIC TECHNOLOGY

NAME OF THE STUDENT Allan Immanuel ROLL NO PG/UG

. 9

2017301504

## STUDENTS FEEDBACK: 2016-17

S. No.	Indicators	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither disagree nor agree	Agree	Strongly agree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover.				~	
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to extended potification of changes, etc.)					
3.	The Syllabus was need based Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance			~		
4.	between theory and application Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials,					
5.	practical, etc. Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials, etc.) were	6				
6.	relevant and useful. The overall environment in the class was conductive to learning		- 5 -			
7.	The books prescribed listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and					a ann ar a' a' Stàitean an an a'
8.	Expectations about the course (Ennance by skill/knowledge base, better career apportunities etc.) were achievable.					
9.	1 in attand wore conducted					

Signature Allow

613/18 Date

loa

PROFESSOR AND HEAD Department of Ceramic Technology Alagappa College of Technology, Anna University, Chennai - 600 025.