

## ALAGAPPA COLLEGE OF TECHNOLOGY

## ANNA UNIVERSITY

## DEPARTMENT OF CERAMIC TECHNOLOGY

NAME OF THE STUDENT R. Grangadharam ROLL NO 2016301021

PG/UG

## STUDENTS FEEDBACK: 2016-17

S. No.	Indicators	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither disagree nor agree	Agree	Strongly agree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to cover.					
2.	The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)				$\sim$	1
3.	The Syllabus was need based Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application	Ö		V		
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical, etc.					
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials, etc.) were relevant and useful.					
6.	The overall environment in the class was conductive to learning		eng. e			
7.	The books prescribed listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped.					
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities, etc.) were achievable.				<b>V</b>	
9.	Tests and examinations were conducted well in time with proper coverage of all units in the syllabus					

Signature P.C.

Date 6-3-19

PROFESSOR AND HEAD Department of Ceramic Technology Alagappa College of Technology, Anna University, Chennai - 600 025.