

## ALAGAPPA COLLEGE OF TECHNOLOGY

## ANNA UNIVERSITY

DEPARTMENT OF CERAMIC TECHNOLOGY

NAME OF THE STUDENT : SARAVANAN PN ROLL NO : 2017301542

: VG. PG/UG

## STUDENTS FEEDBACK: 2016-17

S. No.	Indicators	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither disagree nor agree	Agree	Strongly agree
1.	The course objectives were clear and reflected in a syllabus, topics intend to					~
2.	cover. The Course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notification of changes, etc.)				/	
3.	The Syllabus was need based Emphasis on fundamentals, coverage of modern/advanced topics, good balance between theory and application			s"		
4.	Course was well structured to achieve the learning outcomes (good balance of lectures, learning resources, tutorials, practical, etc.				1	
5.	Learning materials (lesson plans, course notes, reading materials, etc.) were relevant and useful.				~	
6.	The overall environment in the class		8		~	
7.	The books prescribed listed as reference materials are relevant, updated and appropriate. Labs are better equipped.		<i></i>			
8.	Expectations about the course (Enhance by skill/knowledge base, better career opportunities, etc.) were achievable.				1	
9.	i tiona wore conducted				~	-

Date

Signature

loon

PROFESSOR AND HEAD Department of Ceramic Technology Alagappa College of Technology, Anna University, Chennai - 600 025.