



INSTITUTE OF REMOTE SENSING  
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING GUINDY, CHENNAI 600 025

UG  
7 or 5

Feedback on Syllabus/Curriculum

(July 2018 – June 2019)

Name of the Parent: A. Perumal F/o P. Raj Kumar (2016107037)

Degree: B.E. in Informatics rajkumarperumal@gmail.com

The course objectives were clear and reflected in the syllabus.

Strongly Agree  Agree  Neutral  Disagree  Strongly Disagree

1. The course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notifications of changes etc)

Strongly Agree  Agree  Neutral  Disagree  Strongly Disagree

2. The syllabus was need based. Emphasis was on fundamentals as well as on modern/advanced topics.

Strongly Agree  Agree  Neutral  Disagree  Strongly Disagree

3. Was there a balance between theory and practical?

Strongly Agree  Agree  Neutral  Disagree  Strongly Disagree

4. Is the course well-structured to achieve the learning outcomes (Usage of learning resources, tutorials, practicals etc)?

Strongly Agree  Agree  Neutral  Disagree  Strongly Disagree

5. The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.

Strongly Agree  Agree  Neutral  Disagree  Strongly Disagree

6. Are the prescribed books relevant?

Strongly Agree  Agree  Neutral  Disagree  Strongly Disagree

7. Were the Labs better equipped?

Strongly Agree  Agree  Neutral  Disagree  Strongly Disagree


8. Did the course contribute to skill enhancement and better career opportunities?

Strongly Agree  Agree  Neutral  Disagree  Strongly Disagree

9. Were the assessments conducted on time with proper coverage of syllabus?

Strongly Agree  Agree  Neutral  Disagree  Strongly Disagree

  
Signature of the Parent

  
HOD (CIVIL)  
PROFESSOR AND HEAD  
DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING  
ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI - 600 025



UG  
7 or 5

**INSTITUTE OF REMOTE SENSING**  
**COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING GUINDY, CHENNAI 600 025**

**Feedback on Syllabus/Curriculum**

**(July 2018 – June 2019)**

Name of the Parent: ANTHONY RAVI J F/O MARGARRET ASHMITHA. A. (Reg. No. 2016107025)

Degree: B.E. GIS/GEODINFORMATICS raviantony2009@gmail.com

The course objectives were clear and reflected in the syllabus.

Strongly Agree    Agree    Neutral    Disagree    Strongly Disagree

1. The course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notifications of changes etc)

Strongly Agree    Agree    Neutral    Disagree    Strongly Disagree

2. The syllabus was need based. Emphasis was on fundamentals as well as on modern/advanced topics.

Strongly Agree    Agree    Neutral    Disagree    Strongly Disagree

3. Was there a balance between theory and practical?

Strongly Agree    Agree    Neutral    Disagree    Strongly Disagree

4. Is the course well-structured to achieve the learning outcomes (Usage of learning resources, tutorials, practicals etc)?

Strongly Agree    Agree    Neutral    Disagree    Strongly Disagree

5. The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.

Strongly Agree    Agree    Neutral    Disagree    Strongly Disagree

6. Are the prescribed books relevant?

Strongly Agree    Agree    Neutral    Disagree    Strongly Disagree

7. Were the Labs better equipped?

Strongly Agree    Agree    Neutral    Disagree    Strongly Disagree


8. Did the course contribute to skill enhancement and better career opportunities?

Strongly Agree    Agree    Neutral    Disagree    Strongly Disagree

9. Were the assessments conducted on time with proper coverage of syllabus?

Strongly Agree    Agree    Neutral    Disagree    Strongly Disagree

  
Signature of the Parent

  
**HOD (CIVIL)**  
PROFESSOR AND HEAD  
DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING  
ANNA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI - 600 025





INSTITUTE OF REMOTE SENSING  
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING GUINDY, CHENNAI 600 025

UG  
715 gem  
515

Feedback on Syllabus/Curriculum

(July 2018 – June 2019)

Name of the Parent: P. Vasudharajan F/o - V. Manojkumar (Rg. NO: 2016107024)

Degree: BE (Geo-informatics).

manojvarathank@gmail.com

The course objectives were clear and reflected in the syllabus.

Strongly Agree  Agree  Neutral  Disagree  Strongly Disagree

1. The course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notifications of changes etc)

Strongly Agree  Agree  Neutral  Disagree  Strongly Disagree

2. The syllabus was need based. Emphasis was on fundamentals as well as on modern/advanced topics.

Strongly Agree  Agree  Neutral  Disagree  Strongly Disagree

3. Was there a balance between theory and practical?

Strongly Agree  Agree  Neutral  Disagree  Strongly Disagree

4. Is the course well-structured to achieve the learning outcomes (Usage of learning resources, tutorials, practical etc)?

Strongly Agree  Agree  Neutral  Disagree  Strongly Disagree

5. The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.

Strongly Agree  Agree  Neutral  Disagree  Strongly Disagree

6. Are the prescribed books relevant?

Strongly Agree  Agree  Neutral  Disagree  Strongly Disagree

7. Were the Labs better equipped?

Strongly Agree  Agree  Neutral  Disagree  Strongly Disagree

8. Did the course contribute to skill enhancement and better career opportunities?

Strongly Agree  Agree  Neutral  Disagree  Strongly Disagree

9. Were the assessments conducted on time with proper coverage of syllabus?

Strongly Agree  Agree  Neutral  Disagree  Strongly Disagree

P. Vasudharajan

Signature of the Parent

Beek  
HOD (CIVIL)  
PROFESSOR AND HEAD

DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING  
SRM UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI - 600 025