

### INSTITUTE OF REMOTE SENSING



## COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING GUINDY, CHENNAI 600 025

#### Feedback on Syllabus/Curriculum

		1	July 2018	June 2019)	1 1 1 2 2 2 7 )		
	Name of the Parent	A Per	umal Flo	p. Rojtu	mar (2016107037)		
	Degree: B.E 1	notrioen	matily	rajbumarke	rund wagnail com.		
	The course objectives were clear and reflected in the syllabus.						
	OStrongly Agree	OAgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree		
	The course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notifications of changes etc)						
	OStrongly Agree	OAgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree		
	The syllabus was need based. Emphasis was on fundamentals as well as onmodem/advancedtopics.						
	OStrongly Agree	OAgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree		
i.	Was there a balance between theory and practical?						
	Ostrongly Agree	OAgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree		
	Is the course well-structured to achieve the learning outcomes (Usage of learning resources, tutorials, practicalete)?						
	Ostrongly Agree	OAgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree		
	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.						
	OStrongly Agree	OAgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree		
	Are the prescribed books relevant?						
	Ostrongly Agree		ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree		
	Were the Labs bette	er equipped?					
	OStrongly Agree	OAgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree		
	Did the course contribute to skill enhancement and better career opportunities?						
	OStrongly Agree	OAgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree		
	Were the assessments conducted on time with proper coverage of syllabus?						
	OStrongly Agree	OAgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree		
		- /					
			10	Dudana	Ser		

96.0)V(BON)
Signature of the Parent

PROFESSOR AND HEAD DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING AND AND UNIVERSITY, CHENNAL - 600 025



## INSTITUTE OF REMOTE SENSING

7015

### COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING GUINDY, CHENNAI 600 025

#### Feedback on Syllabus/Curriculum

(July 2018 - June 2019)


	Name of the Parent:	ANTONY	RAVI .T	F/o MARGAR	RET ASHMITHA. A. (Reg. 20161070		
	Degree: B.E &C	SIEDINFORD		murianto	ng2009 (gmail.com		
	The course objectives were clear and reflected in the syllabus.						
	OStrongly Agree	ØAgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree		
1.	The course was wel of changes etc)	l organized (	e.g. teaching h	ours, content flo	w, access to materials, notifications		
	OStrongly Agree	OAgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree		
2.	The syllabus was ne onmodem/advanced		mphasis was or	fundamentals as	s well as		
	OStrongly Agree	Ø Agree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree		
3.	Was there a balance	between the	eory and practic	cal?			
	OStrongly Agree			ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree		
4.	Is the course well-st resources,tutorials,			rning outcomes (	Usage of learning		
	OStrongly Agree		ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree		
5	The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.						
	OStrongly Agree		ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree		
6.	Are the prescribed books relevant?						
	OStrongly Agree	OAgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree		
7.	Were the Labs bette	r equipped?					
	OStrongly Agree	OAgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree		
8.	Did the course contr	ribute to skil	l enhancement	and better career	opportunities?		
	OStrongly Agree	ØAgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree		
9.	Were the assessmen	its conducted	on time with	oroper coverage (	of syllabus?		
	OStrongly Agree	OAgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree		

Signature of the Parent

PROFESSOR AND HEAD DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING ARINA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI - 600 025



# INSTITUTE OF REMOTE SENSING



# COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING GUINDY, CHENNAI 600 025

## Feedback on Syllabus/Curriculum

			July 2018 –		
	Name of the Paren	it: P. Voore	adharajan i	=10 - V-Mano	Planar (Rg. No: 2016107024)
			irmatics).	mai	nogranation kagimail com
	The course objective	ves were clea	r and reflected	in the syllabus.	
	OStrongly Agree	<b>O</b> Agree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree
1.	The course was we of changes etc)	ell organized	(e.g. teaching l	nours, content flo	w, access to materials, notifications
	OStrongly Agree	ØAgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree
2.	onmodem/advance	dtopics.	mphasis was or	n fundamentals a	s well as
	<b>Ø</b> Strongly Agree	OAgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree
3.	Was there a balance	e between the	eory and practic	cal?	
	Strongly Agree		ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree
4.	Is the course well-s resources,tutorials,	tructured to a	achieve the lear	ming outcomes (	Usage of learning
	OStrongly Agree	and the second second	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree
5.	The overall environ	ment in the	class was condu	cive to learning	
	OStrongly Agree		ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree
5.	Are the prescribed l	books relevan	nt?		
	OStrongly Agree		ONeutral	ODisagree	OStrongly Disagree
7.	Were the Labs bette	er equipped?			
	OStrongly Agree	A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree
	Did the course contr	ribute to skill	enhancement :	and better career	opportunities?
		OAgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree
Ų.	Were the assessmen	ts conducted	on time with n	Poper contarons of	
		OAgree	ONeutral Oneutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree /
	5.5	(CO)	250160000	ODingice	OstrongryDisagree
			A		( New

P. Varothragan.

Signature of the Parent

DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING MINA UNIVERSITY, CHENNAI - 600 025