



DIVISION OF STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING GUINDY, CHENNAI 600 025


Feedback on Syllabus/Curriculum

(July 2014 – June 2016)

Name of the Alumnus: R. SARAVANAKUMAR

Degree: M.E. STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING.

1. The course objectives were clear and reflected in the syllabus.
 Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree
2. The course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notifications of changes etc.)
 Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree
3. The syllabus was need based. Emphasis was on fundamentals as well as on modern /advanced topics.
 Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree
4. Was there a balance between theory and practical?
 Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree
5. Is the course well-structured to achieve the learning outcomes (Usage of learning resources, tutorials, practical etc.)?
 Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree
6. The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.
 Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree
7. Are the prescribed books relevant?
 Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree
8. Were the Labs better equipped?
 Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree
9. Did the course contribute to skill enhancement and better career opportunities?
 Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree
10. Were the assessments conducted on time with proper coverage of syllabus?
 Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree


Signature of the Alumnus
[R. SARAVANAKUMAR]



DIVISION OF STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING GUINDY, CHENNAI 600 025

Feedback on Syllabus/Curriculum

(July 2015 – June 2017)

Name of the Alumnus: *K. VENKATARAMANAN*

Degree: *M.E. STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING*

The course objectives were clear and reflected in the syllabus.

Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree

1. The course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notifications of changes etc)

Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree

2. The syllabus was need based. Emphasis was on fundamentals as well as on modern/advanced topics.

Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree

3. Was there a balance between theory and practical?

Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree

4. Is the course well-structured to achieve the learning outcomes (Usage of learning resources, tutorials, practicals etc)?

Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree

5. The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.

Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree

6. Are the prescribed books relevant?

Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree

7. Were the Labs better equipped?

Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree

8. Did the course contribute to skill enhancement and better career opportunities?

Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree

9. Were the assessments conducted on time with proper coverage of syllabus?

Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree

K. Venkataraman

Signature of the Alumnus



DIVISION OF STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING GUINDY, CHENNAI 600 025

Feedback on Syllabus/Curriculum

(July 2015 – June 2017)

Name of the Employer: ARUNPRASATH.D

Name of the Organisation and Position: L&T CONSTRUCTION, ENGINEERING MANAGER

Tick the number that best describes your level of satisfaction at each question: 1 - far from satisfied, 2 - not satisfied, 3 - satisfied, 4 - happy, 5 - very happy

How satisfied are you with the student/s work performance in each of these areas:	1	2	3	4	5
1. General communication skills					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
2. Developing practical solutions to work place problems					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
3. Working as part of a team					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
4. Creative in response to workplace challenges				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
5. Their planning and organization skills				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
6. Self-motivated and taking on appropriate level of responsibility				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
7. Open to new ideas and learning new techniques			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
8. Using technology and workplace equipment			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
9. Ability to contribute to the goal of the organization				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
10. Technical knowledge/skill					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
11. Ability to manage/leadership qualities			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
12. Innovativeness, creativity				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
13. Relationship with seniors/peers/subordinates					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
14. Involvement in social activities				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
15. Ability to take up extra responsibility				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
16. Obligation to work beyond schedule if required					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

On a scale of 1 to 10 how would you rate your overall satisfaction with CEG student and the curriculum (1 being the minimum and 10 being the maximum)

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	9	10
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---------------------------------------	---	----

Any other comment(s):

--	--

Would you like to recruit more CEG student?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Would you refer us to other organization(s)?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>

Signature of the Employer