

8.

9.

## CENTER FOR WATER RESOURCES

## COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING GUINDY, CHENNAI 600 025

## Feedback on Syllabus/Curriculum

(July 2014 – June 2015)

	Nama afal		13th 2014	- June 2015)		
	Name of the Ali	umnus: (na	nesh kuno	W.P		
	Degree: M	E Env.	Mant			
	The course objectives were clear and reflected in the syllabus.					
	Ostrongly Agre			ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree	
1	<ol> <li>The course was well organized (e.g. teaching hours, content flow, access to materials, notifica</li> </ol>					
	Ostrongly Agre	e O'Agree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree	
2.	. The syllabus was onmodem/advance	he syllabus was need based. Emphasis was on fundamentals as well as nmodem/advancedtopics.				
	OStrongly Agree	e OAgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree	
3.	3. Was there a balance between theory and practical?					
	OStrongly Agree	e O'Agree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree	
4.	Is the course well-structured to achieve the learning outcomes (Usage of learning resources, tutorials, practicalete)?					
	Ostrongly Agree	OAgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree	
5. The overall environment in the class was conducive to learning.						
	Ostrongly Agree	OAgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree	
6. Are the prescribed books relevant?						
	OStrongly Agree	OAgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree	
7.	Were the Labs bette	er equipped?				
	Ostrongly Agree	OAgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree	
8. Did the course contribute to skill enhancement and better career opportunities						
	Ostroligiy Agree	CAgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OstronglyDisagree	
Were the assessments conducted on time with proper coverage of cult-land						
(	OStrongly Agree	OAgree	ONeutral	ODisagree	OStronglyDisagree	

Signature of the Alumnus